

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/501,013	02/09/2000	Friedhelm Beckmann	2526/207-131	3304
7:	590 04/30/2003			
Lerner and Greenberg PA			EXAMINER	
P. O. Box 2480 Hollywood, FL 33022-2480			TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	9
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	_	BECKMANN, FRIEDHELM	
Advisory Action	09/501,013	Art Unit	
<u>-</u>	Examiner Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a			
	THE APPLICATION IN CONDIT	TION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	r: (1) a timely filed amendment was peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tire	hich places the application in	
PERIOD FOI	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In	
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponents. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706 07(f). 	xpire later than SIX MONTHS from the m WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS O	F THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perfee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration data (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. Se	ate of the shortened statutory period for rele Office later than three months after the e 37 CFR 1.704(b).	reply originally set in the final Office action; or e mailing date of the final rejection, even if	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appel 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3)	(CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid distinct	ne period set forth in sal of the appeal.	
The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	red because:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or sear	rch (see NOTE below);	
" > T " the issue of now matter (see N	late below):		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applications for appeal; and/or	ation in better form for appeal by t		
(d) they present additional claims without control NOTE:	anceling a corresponding numbe	r of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):		
S. Applicants reply has determined	would be allowable if submitted in	n a separate, timely filed amendment	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) required application in condition for allowance because	Se. See Continuation Check.		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amen explanation of how the new or amended cla	dmont(s) a) will not be entered	d or b)∐ will be entered and an d below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as fo			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	·		
Claim(s) rejected:			
out (-) with drawn from consideration:		discourse and by the Everniner	
8 The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is a)∐ approved or b)∐ 0	disapproved by the Examilier.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure S	tatement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s). — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
10. Other:		ELIZABETH M. COLE PRIMARY EXAMINER	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the MURCH reference teaches the use of flameproofed textile materials in the protective cover layer (this equates to the core layer) and a intumescent layer (that equates to the covering layer). The reference teaches that the characteristic feature of all intumescent coatings is that the intumescent composition, upon exposure to heat or flame, swells or puffs up to a relatively thick cellular foam char which possesses heat-insulative and fire-retardant properties. (Refer to Column 8, lines 23-31; Column 1, lines 15-30).